

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,150	12/21/2006	Hermann Gmeinder	30815/28752	9286
	7590 02/11/201 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH W	ACKER DRIVE	KIM, HEE-YONG		
6300 WILLIS CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CITICAGO, IL	00000 0557		2482	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/575,150	GMEINDER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	HEE-YONG KIM	2482		

		HEE-YONG KIM	2482						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE BEE	THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The app app for	1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The filin	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
3. X Th (a) (b)	AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
	□ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or □ They present additional claims without canceling a claims.	, ,		ne issues for					
4. 🗆 Th	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. 🔲 Ap	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
hov The Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) v the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Cla	im(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE a affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, business applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so tearlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
ent sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. \(\sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See continuation sheet.									
	12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
		/Andy S. Rao/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2482						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Regrading independent claims 1 and 12, applicant amended claims from alternative form ("one of a third conversion block and a fourth conversion block") to "and" form. Therefore, it requires further consideration and search on the subject matters.